TABLE OF CONTENTS

	F	Page
APPROVAL SHEET		i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	-	ii
ABSTRACT		vi
TABLE OF CONTENT	S	vii
LIST OF TABLES		X
CHAPTER		
1 THE PROBLEM	1 AND ITS SCOPE	
INTRODUC [*]	TION	
Ra	ationale of the Study	1
Th	neoretical Background	4
THE PROBL	_EM	
St	atement of the Problem	21
Si	gnificance of the Study	. 21
RESEARCH	METHODOLOGY	
Re	esearch Environment	. 23
Re	esearch Respondents	. 40
Re	esearch Instrument and Other Sources of Data	. 40
Re	esearch Procedures	. 41
	Data Gathering	. 41
	Treatment of Data	. 42
DEFINITION	I OF TERMS	. 44

OF DATA	46	
I THE STATUS OF THE COLLECTIONS OF THE LAW LIBRARIES	46	
Format4	47	
Size	48	
Recency, and	50	
Scope	50	
II THE UNION LIST OF THE LAW LIBRARY COLLECTION	68	
Computerized Union List Online Procedure	69	
Computerized Union List Access Procedure for Users	83	
List of Law Libraries' Holdings	89	
III THE PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED BY THE USERS 2	284	
3 THE LAW LIBRARIES IN ILOILO CITY: PROPOSED RESOURCE SHARING GUIDELINES	292	
4 SUMMARY, FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS2	297	
Summary2	298	
Findings2	299	
Conclusions	301	
Recommendations3	302	
BIBLIOGRAPHY3	304	
APPENDICES	309	
A. Transmittal Letter to CPU President		
A. 1. Transmittal Letter to UI-PEN President	311	
A. 2. Transmittal Letter to USA President	312	

A. 3. Transmittal Letter to CPU VPAA	313
A. 4. Transmittal Letter to UI-PEN Dean Faculty Academic Affairs	314
A. 5. Transmittal Letter to USA VPAA	315
A. 6. Transmittal Letter to CPU VP Finance and Enterprise	316
A. 7. Transmittal Letter to UI-PEN Comptroller	317
A. 8. Transmittal Letter to USA VP for Administration and Finance	318
A. 9. Transmittal Letter to CPU Law Dean	319
A. 10. Transmittal Letter to UI-PEN Law Dean	320
A. 11. Transmittal Letter to USA Law Dean	321
A. 12. Transmittal Letter to CPU Director of Libraries	322
A. 13. Transmittal Letter to UI-PEN Head Librarian	323
A. 14. Transmittal Letter to USA Director LRC	324
B. Interview Guide for the Administrators	325
B. 1. Interview Guide for Law Deans	326
B. 2. Interview Guide for Librarians	327
B. 3. Interview Guide for Law Students	332
C. CPU Law Curriculum	333
C. 1. UI-PEN Law Curriculum	334
C. 2. USA Law Curriculum	335
D. Documentation	336
CURRICULUM VITAE	343

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Format and Size of the Law Libraries' Collections	47
2	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: 1 st Year	51
3	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: 2 nd Year	54
4	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: 3 rd Year	56
5	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: 4 th Year	58
6	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: General Reference Books	60
7	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: Law Journals	62
8	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: CD-ROMs	64
9	Scope and Recency of the Law Libraries' Collections: Online Database	66

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This thesis would not have been made possible without the contributions of many wonderful people that have shared their generosity, who in one way or another provided their time, effort, and valuable assistance in this scholastic endeavour.

To the University of San Agustin (USA), for the full financial support extended to the researcher; to the past and present university Administrators for the support all throughout the author's journey that made possible the production of this thesis;

To Miss Maria Luisa K. Burdeos, MSLS Chairman of the Library Science Department, for the patience and understanding; for the review of the work.

To Miss Arlene Bacayo, MSLS thesis adviser, for her insights, guidance, and corrections during the research project.

To the panel examiners, Dr. Virginia Mollaneda, for the valuable guidance, unconditional assistance, knowledge and expertise imparted right through the journey with the researcher that led to the completion of the study; likewise to Mrs. Mary Allen Mae Oral, MSLS, for the advice for the improvement of the work.

To USC MSLIS Professors: Dr. Marilou Tadlip, Dr. Virginia Mollaneda, Miss Arlene Bacayo, Mrs. Maxie Doreen Cabaron, Mr. Alvin Penserga, Mrs. Rainera Boholst, Miss Gloria Trinidad, for the knowledge, insights, and various inputs of expertise shared during those momentous academic years spent in the university.

To Mr. and Mrs. Rubio who have been the guardians of the researcher in Cebu City- for their marvelous generosity, guidance, and prayers since the very beginning of the journey of the researcher.

To Miss Regina Maligad, Director of Learning Resource Center, University of San Agustin, for the encouragement, support, and understanding during decisive moments of her work.

To Dr. Jose M. Mongcal, for editing the manuscript; To all the librarians of the University of San Agustin- for all their encouragement and cheers during the trying times especially to Cozette, Glory, Jen, Ame, Mary Ann, Rovilyn, Edmar, Analiza, and Ilda,-you're all worth to thank for in each moment shared with the researcher in good and bad times, every experience together was indeed amazing.

To all the thesis respondents who generously shared their valuable time with the researcher: To Central Philippine University President, Dr. Teodoro C. Robles, Vice President for Academic Affairs, Dr. Fely Chin, VP for Finance and Enterprise, Dr. Florence Bogacia, Dean of the College of Law, Atty. Zacarias Bedona, Director of Libraries, Dr. Melda Estember, and Serials Librarian, Miss Rezia Duerma, and to all the CPU law students who participated during the survey; To the University of Iloilo President, Dr. Meliton B. Salazar, Comptroller, Mr. Jose Rommel S. Sarabia, Dean of the College of Law, Atty. Pauline Grace B. Alfuente, Head Librarian, Ms. Leonora Flame, Law Librarian, Ms. Araceli Japitana, and especially to Ms. Wilma Servigon, for the valuable assistance, and to all the UI-PEN law students who participated during the survey; To the

University of San Agustin administrators headed by the University President, Rev. Fr. Frederick Comendador, O.S.A., Vice-President for Academic Affairs, Rev. Fr. Harold Ll. Rentoria O.S.A., VP for Administration and Finance, Rev. Fr. Roy Aspera, O.S.A., Dean of the College of Law, Atty. Jose Mari Benjamin Tirol, Director of Learning Resource Center, Miss Regina A. Maligad, and to all the USA law students who have given their time to join and exchange their ideas relevant to the pursuit of the study.

To Atty. Juana Judita Panigbatan-Nafarrete, former Dean of USA College of Law for her endorsement of the researcher for receiving the Scholarship Grant of the university; for the encouragement and support given during her term as the Dean of the College of Law.

To the former Director of the Human Resource Management Office of University of San Agustin, Rev. Fr. Jessie Tabobo, O.S.A., for the encouragement and prayers; to the present HRMO Director, Dr. Marivis Gangoso, to all the HRMO personnel, Miss Buen, Miss Lenor, Miss LB and to all the student assistants who were patiently facilitating all the letter of requests all through the researcher's journey.

To the previous and present College of Law Library Student Assistants likewise to Miss Girlie Espinosa and the Student Assistants of the College of Law Office for the assistance and help.

To the USC Librarians especially to Mrs. Maricel Antiporta Angcay and Mrs. Fe Remedio Planas for their kind assistance in facilitating the requests of the researcher:

To all the researcher's friends and classmates in USC especially to

Mariser, Nerai, Greta, Lovey, Fevie, Shenzy, Shela, and Fr. Franlou for the

blissful academic years in USC and for the prayers for success;

To Fretzie Alfaro, her soul sister, for the fun-filled stay in Cebu, for a lot of

beautiful memories of friendship shared together from the very start of their

academic journey.

To all the Elementary, High School, College classmates, batch mates and

friends of the researcher, for the encouragement and inspiration during tough

times throughout the journey in making the work possible.

To the Siervas de San Jose (SSJ) Sisters for the inspiration of good deeds

and values imparted with the researcher; and for the prayers of success.

To the researcher's family most especially to her Nanay and Tatay, sisters

Joy and Len-Len, brother Francis, nephews Janz, Branz, and Lanz, for the

unconditional love, financial support, and encouragement, this simple work is

dedicated.

To all those names not mentioned but have touched the life of the

researcher in a very special way.

And finally, to God Almighty who gives life, wisdom and strength to finish

this work.

Her sincere gratitude and prayers to everyone. Thank you very much.

Rhea Lobredo Espina Researcher

٧

ABSTRACT

The Law Libraries in Iloilo City: Proposed Resource Sharing Guidelines. Espina, Rhea L. MSLIS. University of San Carlos (Cebu City, Philippines), 2014, 344 pp.

The study aimed to describe the format, recency, scope, and size of the computer-based, print, non-print and non-electronic law library collections of Central Philippine University, University of Iloilo-PHINMA Education Network, and University of San Agustin in Iloilo City in preparation for drawing up resource sharing guidelines.

It used the quantitative and qualitative approaches through collection mapping, examination of library records, focus group discussion, and interview.

The findings proved that print forms in a common scope of subjects in the law curricula dominated the collections in all three libraries. In terms of recency, and size, Library A had the highest number of titles with copyright dates from 1930-2013 and a bigger total collection compared with Library B and Library C. Despite the disparity in size and recency, a computerized union list can be created because two universities used the Destiny Follett System while the third used Athena Library System and these universities have an existing consortium.

The resource sharing guidelines could address the problems which the users met in relation to collection, services, and library networking in a manner that could lead to shared vision and philosophy for law libraries in Iloilo City.

CHAPTER 1

THE PROBLEM AND ITS SCOPE

INTRODUCTION

Rationale of the Study

It is an established fact that no library is complete and can give everything to its clients. The reasons behind this include the continuously rising costs of library resources and services, information explosion, and advances in technology, among others.

Law libraries are also greatly affected by this experience. The law library, which is an essential fraction of the legal profession, is the clearinghouse of the information in which the field revolves. Thus, a lot is expected from the legal information professionals. Law librarians would have to attend simultaneously to the ever-increasing amount of legal information and demands from the clients, the constantly-changing technology, rising costs, plus the complexity of legal materials. They have to seek for means by which they can go along with the fast pace of the evolution of the legal profession. At the same time, these new means should also go along with the budget allocation that gets tighter each year (Jaafar, 2012).

According to Manjunatha & Shivalingaiah (2003), the tradition of cooperative collection development and resource sharing among libraries stays alive as means to alleviate problems of space and costly duplication, in particular for little used materials. The needs for resource sharing stems from the explosive growth of all forms of literature, increasing reliance or demands on information, inflation in the cost of materials, increasing cost of information, reduced or